

Before the Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of: )  
Updating the Commission's Rule for Over-the-Air ) WT DOCKET NO. 19-71  
Reception Devices )

Date: June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2019

Email: [kate@safeg.net](mailto:kate@safeg.net)

COMMENT FILED BY CITIZENS FOR HEALTH

*Citizens for Health strongly opposes WT Docket No. 19-71.*

Citizens for Health (CFH), believes that sound health is the vital foundation of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, and is itself a fundamental human right.

This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is yet another measure by the FCC to spur investment and deployment in 5g endangering public health and eliminating choice.

If the goal of the FCC is to foist 5g on the American people at all costs, then these proposed rules fit the bill. But if the aim is rather to encourage the buildout of a technology future that is safe, fast, reliable, energy efficient, cyber secure, and respectful of privacy, then this proposed rulemaking fails miserably.

CFH urges the Commission to consider SafeG, a framework for an internet and telecommunications system that respects our health and our right to choose what is best for ourselves and our communities.

CFH supports new rules that prioritize health and encourage safe, fast, reliable, secure internet and telecommunications services brought into our homes and businesses by wired technology and that evolve over time with the goal of reducing exposure to harmful wireless radiation. (For more on SafeG, please see, [www.safeg.net](http://www.safeg.net).)

The OTARD modifications do just the opposite.

This NPRM does not respect our health and would result in forced (and in most cases uninformed) exposure of microwave radiation. Those radiated would include children, pregnant women, the elderly, and the infirm.

Eliminating due process eliminates free choice. Without public notice, hearings and data about the antennas, there is no free choice. These new rules would force people to accept the choice of a neighbor who, perhaps lacking knowledge about the harms of wireless radiation or in need of the money being offered, may choose to accept an antenna atop his/her home.

Furthermore, these regulations would pit neighbor against neighbor. A community of people who are both sickened by radiofrequency (and a multitude of other environmental pollutants) and living in conflict with neighbors, does little to create a peaceful and vibrant community and world.

People's "right" to stream video while walking around **stops** where other people's DNA starts.

Industry's "right" to deploy tens of thousands of 5g antennas next to homes **stops** where our DNA begins.

Please also consider the costs of facilitating the deployment of yet more antennas. These include health, privacy, cyber security, environmental impacts, energy consumption, effects on our brains and humanity, e-waste, conflict

minerals, lowered property value, and a host of ethical problems. (For more please see [www.whatis5g.info](http://www.whatis5g.info))

We urge you to oppose the OTARD modifications and to support SafeG as we walk together into the future. As Winston Churchill famously said, “Healthy citizens are the greatest asset any country can have”. And we would add to that, citizens with healthy and well-balanced minds, and a healthy environment.

Respectfully Submitted,

Citizens for Health